Fall 2019

Problem Set 1

Prof. Gautam Kamath

Deadline: 11:59 PM on October 7, 2019

You are allowed to discuss the problems in small groups (2-4 people). List your collaborators for each problem. Every person must write up and submit their own solutions.

1. Boosting Success Probability. Suppose that we have an algorithm A, which takes a dataset $X^{(1)} \sim D$ and outputs a real number with the following guarantee, with respect to some (unknown) value p:

$$\Pr[|A(X^{(1)}) - p| \le \varepsilon] \ge 3/4.$$

That is, the algorithm is "accurate" with probability at least 3/4, where the probability is over the sampling of $X^{(1)} \sim D$ and the randomness in the algorithm A. Using this algorithm as a black box, give an algorithm A' which boosts this success probability to $1 - \delta$ using $O(\log(1/\delta))$ independent repetitions of the algorithm.

$$\Pr[|A'(X^{(1)},\ldots,X^{(O(\log(1/\delta)))}) - p| \le \varepsilon] \ge 1 - \delta.$$

Assume that we can draw $O(\log(1/\delta))$ additional (independent) datasets from D.

This technique is useful when we may have a learning/estimation algorithm which is correct with probability strictly greater than 1/2, and we wish to boost the success probability to be arbitrarily high.

Optional: Extend your to the vector-valued setting. Suppose that the output of A and p are d-dimensional vectors, and we have the following guarantee:

$$\Pr[\|A(X^{(1)}) - p\|_2 \le \varepsilon] \ge 3/4.$$

Use this to design an algorithm A' which takes $O(\log(1/\delta))$ independent datasets from D and has the following guarantees:

$$\Pr[\|A'(X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(O(\log(1/\delta)))}) - p\|_2 \le 2\varepsilon] \ge 1 - \delta.$$

Note that we allow an additional factor of 2 in the approximation guarantee.

- 2. High-Probability Quicksort. In class, we proved that the expected running time of randomized Quicksort is $O(n \log n)$. Prove that the running time of randomized Quicksort is $O(n \log n)$ with probability at least 1 - 1/n.
- 3. Sequential Selection. You are on a game show with the following rules. There will be n time steps, and at the *i*th time step, the following occurs. You will be offered a dollar amount v_i . You can choose either to accept the prize v_i and the game ends, or irrevocably reject the prize and the game continues to time step i + 1. Assume that the values are all unique, and are presented in a uniformly random order.

One could play this game using the following strategy. Reject the first m dollar amounts v_1, \ldots, v_m . After the mth time step, accept the first value v_i which is greater than all the previously seen values.

Let *E* be the event that you accept the largest prize. Let E_i be the event that the *i*th prize is the largest one and that you accept it. Compute $Pr(E_i)$ and show that $Pr(E) = \frac{m}{n} \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \frac{1}{i-1}$. Show that, with an appropriate choice of *m*, this probability can get arbitrarily close to 1/e.

- 4. Chernoff Bound. Let X be a standard normal random variable, with probability density function $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right)$.
 - (a) Compute the moment generating function of X^2 , $M_{X^2}(t) = E\left[\exp\left(tX^2\right)\right]$. You may use the fact that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)dx = 1$.
 - (b) Compute $E[X^4]$, potentially using your result from the previous part.
 - (c) Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent standard normal random variables, and $Z = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$. Use the Chernoff method to prove that $\Pr(Z \ge 1 + \varepsilon) \le \exp(-n\varepsilon^2/8)$ for $0 \le \varepsilon \le 1$. You may use the Taylor expansion $\ln(1-x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x^i/i$ for $-1 \le x \le 1$.
- 5. *s-t* min-cut. Consider the *s-t* min-cut problem, in which we are given an undirected graph G, where two vertices *s* and *t* are specified. An *s-t* min-cut is a set of edges (of minimum cardinality) whose removal disconnects *s* from *t*. We try solving this problem using the contraction algorithm. As the algorithm proceeds, if *s* (respectively *t*) get merged with another node, the resulting merged node becomes *s* (respectively *t*). We make sure to never contract an edge between *s* and *t*.
 - (a) Show that there are simple graphs (i.e., not multi-graphs) in which the probability that this algorithm finds an *s*-*t* min-cut is exponentially small.
 - (b) Asymptotically, how many s-t min-cuts can an instance of the s-t min-cut problem have?
- 6. Second min-cut. Consider the problem of finding the second smallest cut in a graph. This may be equal to the min-cut, if there are two min cuts, or it might be much larger. Show that a modification to a single run of the contraction algorithm as an $\Omega(1/n^2)$ chance of finding the second smallest cut.
- 7. Balls and bins in rounds. Suppose we have n jobs and n machines. Each machine can process 1 job at each time step. At time 0, we assign the n jobs uniformly at random to the n machines. If this is all we do, we know from class that it will take $\Theta(\log n / \log \log n)$ time steps until all jobs are processed. Instead, at each time step, we will assign all incomplete jobs uniformly at random to the n machines.
 - (a) Argue that if αn jobs begin a round, then with high probability only $(\alpha^2/1.9)n$ will not be processed. **Hint:** consider assigning the jobs one at a time, and upper bound the probability that a job is assigned to a machine that already has a job.
 - (b) Conclude that choosing new machine for each round means that all jobs will be processed in $O(\log \log n)$ time with high probability.
- 8. Set difference using Bloom Filter. Suppose you have two sets, X and Y, such that |X| = |Y| = m and $|X \cap Y| = r$. Create a Bloom filter using a table of size n for each of X and Y, both using the same set of k hash functions.
 - (a) Determine the expected number of bits where the two Bloom filters differ, as a function of m, n, k, and r.
 - (b) Show how this can be used as a method for estimating r.