

Lecture 2

Reconstruction Attacks

Today:

1. Census Reconstruction [Garfinkel, Abowd, Martindale '19]
2. Dinur-Nissim Database Reconstruction [Dinur-Nissim '03]
3. DN Reconstruction in Practice [Cohen-Nissim '20]

Census Reconstruction

Setup

(age, sex, race)

↑ male
↑ female ↑ black
 ↑ white

microdata

Ages: A, B, C ($A \leq B \leq C$)

Constraints

- Whole numbers

- $0 \leq A \leq B \leq C \leq 125$

$(126)(126)(126) = 126^3 \approx 300k$

$B = 30$

$\frac{1}{3}(A+B+C) = 44 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{3}(A+C) = 34$

$A = 0, B = 30, C = 102$

$A = 1, B = 30, C = 101,$

31 options

| Statistic | Group | Age | | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-------|--------|------|
| | | Count | Median | Mean |
| 1A | Total Population | 7 | 30 | 38 |
| 2A | Female | 4 | 30 | 33.5 |
| 2B | Male | 3 | 30 | 44 |
| 2C | Black or African American | 4 | 51 | 49.5 |
| 2D | White | 3 | 24 | 24 |
| 3A | Single Adults | (D) | (D) | (D) |
| 3B | Married Adults | 4 | 51 | 54 |
| 4A | Black or African American Female | 3 | 36 | 36.7 |
| 4B | Black or African American Male | (D) | (D) | (D) |
| 4C | White Male | (D) | (D) | (D) |
| 4D | White Female | (D) | (D) | (D) |
| 5A | Persons Under 5 Years | (D) | (D) | (D) |
| 5B | Persons Under 18 Years | (D) | (D) | (D) |
| 5C | Persons 64 Years or Over | (D) | (D) | (D) |

Note: Married persons must be 15 or over

| A | B | C | A | B | C | A | B | C |
|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1 | 30 | 101 | 11 | 30 | 91 | 21 | 30 | 81 |
| 2 | 30 | 100 | 12 | 30 | 90 | 22 | 30 | 80 |
| 3 | 30 | 99 | 13 | 30 | 89 | 23 | 30 | 79 |
| 4 | 30 | 98 | 14 | 30 | 88 | 24 | 30 | 78 |
| 5 | 30 | 97 | 15 | 30 | 87 | 25 | 30 | 77 |
| 6 | 30 | 96 | 16 | 30 | 86 | 26 | 30 | 76 |
| 7 | 30 | 95 | 17 | 30 | 85 | 27 | 30 | 75 |
| 8 | 30 | 94 | 18 | 30 | 84 | 28 | 30 | 74 |
| 9 | 30 | 93 | 19 | 30 | 83 | 29 | 30 | 73 |
| 10 | 30 | 92 | 20 | 30 | 82 | 30 | 30 | 72 |

Attack

1. Generate constraints
2. Find a feasible point

- NP Hard

- SAT Solvers. Integer Programming

Real Attack

Linkage Attack

Tweetorial by Abowd

Differential Privacy

Dinur-Nissim Database Reconstruction

Databases

Row \Leftrightarrow datapoint
Column \Leftrightarrow dim, feature
 $d \in \{0,1\}^n$

identifiers
(name, postal code, dob, sex)
secret bit $\{0,1\}$

| Name | Postal Code | Date of Birth | Sex | Has Disease? |
|---------|-------------|---------------|-----|--------------|
| Alice | K8V7R6 | 5/2/1984 | F | 1 |
| Bob | V5K5J9 | 2/8/2001 | M | 0 |
| Charlie | V1C7J | 10/10/1954 | M | 1 |
| David | R4K5T1 | 4/4/1944 | M | 0 |
| Eve | G7N8Y3 | 1/1/1980 | F | 1 |

Setting

Analyst - Trying to get answers

How many rows satisfying (conditions) have 'Has Disease=1'?

"Name=Alice OR Name=Bob OR Name=Eve"

True answer = 2

Queries $S \subseteq [n], S \in \{0,1\}^n$. $s_i = 1$ if i is in subset $S = [1,1,0,0,1]$
 $= 0$ else

Subset queries

true answer $A(S) = d \cdot S, [1,1,0,0,1] \cdot [1,0,1,0,1] = 2$

Curator - Respond, but "private"

Receive S , respond $r(S)$.

Option: $r(S) = A(S) \oplus X$

$S = [1,0,0,\dots,0]$

Add noise:

- return $r(S)$ s.t. $|r(S) - A(S)| \leq \epsilon$

Blatant Non-Privacy ($d \in \{0,1\}^n$)

Definition 1. An algorithm is blatantly non-private if an adversary can construct a database $c \in \{0,1\}^n$ such that it matches the true database d in all but $o(n)$ entries.

Fairly general schemes are blatantly nonprivate

Inefficient Attack

Theorem 2 ([DN03]). If the analyst is allowed to ask 2^n subset queries, and the curator adds noise with some bound E , then based on the results, the adversary can reconstruct the database in all but $4E$ positions.

$E = \frac{n}{40}$ \Rightarrow reconstruct in 99% of entries

$E = o(n) \rightarrow$ b.n.p.

Attack

1. Analyst ask all 2^n subset queries

2. For all $c \in \{0,1\}^n$,

2a. \exists ? set S s.t. $|\sum c_i - r(S)| > E$,

\hookrightarrow if so, rule out c

2b. Output any c not ruled out

$$S = [1, 0, 0, \dots]$$

$$= [0, 1, 0, \dots]$$

$$= [1, 1, 0, \dots]$$

$$= [0, 0, 1, \dots]$$

Analysis

- d wouldn't be ruled out

- $I_0 = \{i \mid d_i = 0\}$, $I_1 = \{i \mid d_i = 1\}$

Suppose c output

$|\sum_{i \in I_0} c_i - r(I_0)| \leq E \Rightarrow c$ and d differ by $\leq 2E$ indices in I_0

$|\sum_{i \in I_0} d_i - r(I_0)| \leq E$

$\leq 4E$ diffs. \square

$\leq 2E$ differences in I_1 .

Efficient Attack

Theorem 3 ([DN03]). If the analyst is allowed to ask $O(n)$ subset queries, and the curator adds noise with some bound $E = O(\alpha\sqrt{n})$, then based on the results, a computationally efficient adversary can reconstruct the database in all but $O(\alpha^2)$ positions.

2^n queries, $O(n)$

$O(n)$ queries, $O(\sqrt{n})$ noise

Attack

- Analyst asks random queries, S is chosen u.a.r from $\{0,1\}^n$
- Find any db c consistent.
↳ use an LP

Analysis (Intuition)

Domain: $c, d, S \in \{-1, +1\}^n$

Suppose c and d differ in $\Omega(n)$ coords.

$(c-d) \cdot S = \sum (c_i - d_i) S_i$, where S u.a.r from $\{-1, +1\}^n$

if $c_i = d_i$, $(c_i - d_i) S_i = 0$

o.w., $(c_i - d_i) S_i = \begin{cases} +2 & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2} \\ -2 & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$

$(\sum (c_i - d_i) S_i) \underset{\substack{\text{rescale} \\ \text{shifting}}}{\sim} \text{Bin}(\Omega(n), \frac{1}{2})$

$(c-d) \cdot S$ has: mean = 0, Var = $\Omega(n)$

$\Rightarrow |(c-d) \cdot S| \geq \Omega(\sqrt{n})$ with "large" prob.

anti-concentration \downarrow
Curator: $E \leq o(\sqrt{n}) \rightarrow$ Analyst can rule out c

\uparrow
fixed candidate

- Take $\Omega(n)$ queries, rule out any c w.h.p.
- Union bound over all c which are far from d
- Only remaining are close to d

Attack: 2^n q's, $O(n)$ noise

2: $O(n)$ q's, $O(\sqrt{n})$ noise \leftarrow [Dwork, Mischery, Talwar '07]

"tight" by DP $O(m)$ q's, $O(\sqrt{m})$ noise (safe)

$m \ll n$

Database Reconstruction in Practice

Diffix and Aircloak Challenge

2017

[Cohen-Nissim '20]

\$5000

Differences in Setting

- Subset q 's

Name = Alice¹ or Bob² or Eve³

- $|E| \approx \sqrt{\# \text{ of conds.}}$

- Other conditions:

No OR statements

Modifying the Attack

Dinur-Nissim Attack: Picking random sets

- low # of conds

client-ID

mult, exp, d, pred
numbers \leftarrow T/F q 'n

Does the d -th digit of
 $(\text{mult} * \text{client-id})^{\text{exp}}$ satisfy pred?
mult = 17, client-id = 1, exp = 0.5, d = 3,
pred = "Even?"
 $(17 * 1)^{0.5} = 4.1231\dots$ Yes.

```
SELECT count(clientId)
FROM loans
WHERE floor(100 * ((clientId * 2)^0.7) + 0.5) = floor(100 * ((clientId * 2)^0.7))
AND clientId BETWEEN 2000 and 3000
AND loanStatus = 'C'
```

100% \approx no noise